PREFACE

As most Baha'is should know, Baha'u'llah eliminated the clergy class in the Baha'i Faith. The place where He did this was in the second principle of the Faith: the Independent Investigation of the Truth (that He eliminates a paid clergy class), but in the book of Revelations it states that we would be a 'Kingdom of priests unto God.'[1] So since Baha'u'llah has eliminated a clergy class than how can we be a Kingdom of priests unto God? What this means is that He eliminated a special elite class separate from everybody else by saying that everybody who can now read and write must teach the faith and he gives us all the franchise to teach--and no one and no entity can violate our rights by refusing us to teach for Baha'u'llah gave us this franchise as a gift!

Now the word priest simply means "educator" although people, when they hear the word priest they think about somebody who is giving a wafer, some wine, some sacrament or waving some incense or something, it actually means a teacher. So did Baha'u'llah eliminate teachers? No! God forbid! He commanded Universal Compulsory Education and 'Abdu'l-Baha teaches that man is always in need of an educator![2] What Baha'u'llah did was that He eliminated a paid clergy class.by elevating everybody into the position of being teachers as soon as they even hear the name Baha'u'llah they can go out and teach. And this is as it should be for there have always been teachers and there always will be.

But none of us, no not even one, lives off the Faith, but has a trade or profession or something else that is a livelihood (for Baha'u'llah said "No idle rich and no idle poor"). Even the greatest educator and teacher of us all, has never lived off the Faith nor does he now, but his life is a sacrifice for the Faith which he has carried all along, you might say, like a cross to bear on his back while the others (violators, power seekers, and Covenant-breakers) jumped ship, so to speak--but now we have come to the end of it all when the Kingdom is now to be established on earth, the violators of the Covenant thrown down, the dissidents degraded, humiliated, abased and dispersed, and the banner of the Covenant is to be unfurled, the Name of God proclaimed and His Kingdom declared and constituted as its firmest foundation is laid through true Might and real Power of God for a 5000 century cycle which is half a million years.

So this paper, that has been put together, speaks of two main things, the first is from Galatians 4 and the other is the priesthood.

---

[1] "and made us a kingdom, priests to his God and Father" Rev. 1:6.

[2] See Some Answered Questions, III: "The Need of an Educator."

GALATIANS 4 AND THE PRIESTHOOD

The dichotomy that was created when Muhammad-Ali violated the Covenant and forced 'Abdu'l-Baha to split his station into two parts--the one that is the lineage of David, that went to 'Abdu'l-Baha's adopted son Mason Remey; and the other, that is the station of the "Lesser Branch"[3] of conferred infallibility that went to Shoghi Effendi--was also foreshadowed by the Apostle Paul in his writings, specifically in the fourth chapter of Galatians. So this dichotomy is what has been confusing the people in the Baha'i Faith all along down the line and this was deliberately planned by Baha'u'llah!

Baha'u'llah, when He named Muhammad-Ali in the Kitab-i-Ahd to succeed 'Abdu'l-Baha, already knew that Muhammad-Ali would violate. When they were all in Adrianople Muhammad-Ali had written a document proclaiming himself to be a Manifestation of God and this was while Baha'u'llah was alive! When he went on a mission to India to bring one of Baha'u'llah's tablets with him to have it published (they had a printing press in Bombay at that time) he changed the wording of it (while Baha'u'llah was alive!) proclaiming himself and denouncing 'Abdu'l-Baha! It was so bad, and he caused so much trouble during Baha'u'llah's lifetime, that Baha'u'llah had to chastise him with his own hand. This is mentioned in God Passes By and in the Will and Testament of 'Abdu'l-Baha. So the question arises, why did Baha'u'llah pick this person who was perfidious, that is, a known troublemaker to be named in the Book of the Covenant (Kitab-i-Ahd) after 'Abdu'l-Baha?

In other words Baha'u'llah deliberately appointed 'Abdu'l-Baha to be the one to remove Muhammad-Ali. So this whole situation was created by Baha'u'llah from the very start. In God Passes By, Shoghi Effendi says that it was very important that the Covenant had to be baptized by fire and that it had to be violated and shaken right to its very foundations at its inception.

Shoghi Effendi relates how this testing of the Covenant began at its very inception at the ascension of Baha'u'llah; how its ravaging and savage trials provides the necessary "baptism by fire" through which its essential provisions, structure, framework and pattern is forever galvanized to be able to withstand the rigors of what is to be a 5000 century cycle, that is, a dispensation of no less than half a million years! Truly no dispensation previous has been of this magnitude and no previous dispensation has produced a Covenant of this like! Shoghi Effendi writes:

---

[3] On page 240 of God Passes By Shoghi Effendi translates the station of Muhammad Ali "to be beneath that of the 'Most Great Branch' ('Abdu'l-Baha)" showing that this is the Lesser Branch in comparison.

> Before the Faith, however, could plant its banner in the midmost heart of the North American continent, and from thence establish its outposts over so vast a portion of the Western world, the newly born Covenant of Baha'u'llah had, as had been the case with the Faith that had given it birth, to be baptized with a fire which was to demonstrate its solidity and proclaim its indestructibility to an unbelieving world. A crisis, almost as severe as that which had assailed the Faith in its earliest infancy in Baghdad, as to shake that Covenant to its foundations at the very moment of its inception, and subject afresh the Cause of which it was the noblest fruit to one of the most grievous ordeals experienced in the course of an entire century.[4]

This crisis which shook the Covenant to its foundations was deliberately engineered and overseen by Baha'u'llah Himself, and so it has been up to the present day that every test, deception or violation of the Covenant, during this first century (1892-1992), plus a little more, has been orchestrated by the Author of the Faith and His two appointed successors 'Abdu'l-Baha and Shoghi Effendi whose stations He provided for after His passing in the Book of His Covenant prior to the establishment of the Universal House of Justice also provided for in that same Book.

This is so that "the wisdom of every command should tested." And also Baha'u'llah prophesied, that a great oppression must overtake His Faith so that through the contrast of the darkness of the night of error, of the corruption of it, of the violation of it, the light of divine guidance may be made known. In His Book of Certitude, Baha'u'llah prophesies the oppression which will overcome His Faith:

> This "oppression" [of the violation of the Covenant] is the **essential feature of every Revelation**. Unless it cometh to pass, the Sun of Truth will not be made manifest. For the break of the morn of divine guidance must needs follow the darkness of the night of error. For this reason, in all chronicles and traditions reference hath been made unto these things, namely that iniquity shall cover the surface of the earth and darkness shall envelope mankind.[5]

---

[4] God Passes By, pp. 245-246.

[5] Kitab-i-Iqan, p. 31-32.

The Covenant of God is all that we have. It is the only thing that exists that keeps the Faith alive[6] and protects the Cause from utter ruin and dèstruction from its enemies from both within and from without. 'Abdu'l-Baha has stated, "all the forces of the universe, in the last analysis serve the Covenant."[7]

Because of the supreme importance of the Covenant of Baha'u'llah it was an absolute prerequisite and necessity that it be violated over and over again in every conceivable way and fashion so that through the darkness of error caused by the oppression of violation the light of the morn of divine guidance could be made known. In this way only the wisdom of <u>every</u> command is tested.

So this whole violation which is taking place was planned on purpose as an essential feature from God, and it is also foreshadowed by Paul, in the book of Galatians, who glimpsed the plan of God behind the veil. Paul writes:

> Tell me, you who desire to be under law, do you not hear the law? For it is written that Abraham had two sons, one by a slave and one by a free woman. But the son of the slave was born according to the flesh, the son of the free woman through promise. Now this is an allegory: these women are two Covenants. One is from Mount Sinai, bearing children for slavery; she is Hagar. Now Hagar is Mount Sinai in Arabia; she corresponds to present Jerusalem, for she is in slavery with her children. But the Jerusalem above is free, and she is our mother. For it is written,
>
> > "Rejoice, O barren one that dost not bear; break forth and shout, thou who art not in travail; for the desolate hath more children than she who hath a husband."
>
> No we, brethren, like Isaac, are children of promise.[8] But as at that time he who was born according to the flesh persecuted him who was born according to the Spirit, so it is now. But what does the scripture say? "Cast out the slave and her son; for the son of the slave shall not inherit with the

---

[6] Jesus compares the Covenant to blood which gives life. Mat. 26:28; John 4:34, 6:53.

[7] <u>Selections from the Writings of 'Abdu'l-Baha</u>, #192, p. 228.

[8] Promise is the same word as Covenant. Some Bibles translate it as Covenant.

son of the free woman." So, brethren, we are not children of the slave but of the free woman.[9]

Here Paul depicts the dichotomy (two things) as two Covenants represented in allegory by the two wives of Abraham and their two sons, one of the slave and one of the Spirit. So it is the same with Baha'u'llah who had two wives, the first a freewoman of Persian nobility and the other a wife of the flesh. Of the first, Baha'u'llah surnamed her Navvab, and revealed many Tablets proclaiming that she was His soul-mate throughout all the eternal worlds of God. It is she that is the mother of 'Abdu'l-Baha through which the promise (Covenant) is given of the Will and Testament of 'Abdu'l-Baha, which Shoghi Effendi states is both the "child" and "the heir" to both Baha'u'llah and 'Abdu'l-Baha.[10] 'Abdu'l-Baha's sister gives a beautiful description of her mother and of her blue eyes which 'Abdu'l-Baha inherited from her.

> She was the only daughter of a Persian Vizier, of high degree, Mirza Ishma'il...I wish you could have seen her as I first remember her, tall, slender, graceful, eyes of dark blue--a pearl, a flower amongst women.[11]

Certainly, therefore, it is clear and evident that 'Abdu'l-Baha was born both "according to the Spirit" and "through promise" that is, through Covenant, as Paul puts it,--for in Isaiah chapter 11 as well as Jeremiah 23:5, 33:15 and Zechariah 3:8 the station of the BRANCH is prophesied of in scripture as a promise that will be fulfilled and 'Abdu'l-Baha was born in fulfillment of this promise.

When Paul talks about being born according to "promise," what he saying is that it was prophesied of previously to happen. So the station of the Branch was prophesied of previously and that Baha'u'llah would have one of his sons who would be this Branch was provided for in the plan of God. Therefore 'Abdu'l-Baha was born from promise in that he was already prophesied of and existed in the plan of God from the beginning.

Through the other wife, Mahd-i-Ulya, on the other hand, was born Muhammad-Ali who persecuted 'Abdu'l-Baha and was a real troublemaker all along. During the lifetime of Baha'u'llah he proclaimed himself to be a Manifestation of God and caused such

---

[9] Galatians 4:21-31.

[10] World Order of Baha'u'llah, p. 144.

[11] The Chosen Highway, p. 39.

commotion that Baha'u'llah had to chastise him with His own Hand.[12] Baha'u'llah knew long before He named Muhammad-Ali in His Covenant that he would violate it. This has been written on before.[13] Thus the saying "Cast out the slave and her son" is true with the violation of Muhammad-Ali, and his mother went along with it too, after the passing of Baha'u'llah.

Paul also quotes from Isaiah 54: "for the desolate hath more children than she who hath a husband." 'Abdu'l-Baha, himself, explains that the entire 54th chapter of Isaiah refers to his mother Navvab. This cinches it! 'Abdu'l-Baha himself interpreted the 4th chapter of Galatians where it quotes from Isaiah 54. He has already given the explanation that this is correct! 'Abdu'l-Baha writes:

> As to thy question concerning the 54th chapter of Isaiah: This chapter refers to the Exalted Leaf [Navvab], the mother of Abdul-Baha. As a proof to this it is said: "For more are the children of the desolate, than the children of the married wife." Reflect upon this statement and then upon the following: "And thy seed [of Navvab's which is 'Abdu'l-Baha] shall inherit the Gentiles and make the desolate, cities to be inhabited." And truly the humiliation and reproach which she suffered in the path of God is a fact that no one can refute. For the calamities and afflictions mentioned in the whole chapter are such afflictions which she suffered in the path of God, all of which she endured with patience and thanked God therefore and praised Him, because He had enabled her to endure afflictions for the sake of Baha'.[14]

---

[12] Cited in both God Passes By, p. 249 and in the Will and Testament, p. 7.

[13] "The Blessed Perfection [Baha'u'llah] wrote a Testament or Covenant with his own pen so that no one who obeys it will deny or disobey God. The point is expressed very clearly in the Covenant he revealed. Therefore there can be no possibility, no position of disobedience. **He knew that Muhammad-Ali would disobey the Covenant.** By violating the Covenant he has become a fallen branch. The Covenant was also written by Muhammad-Ali's own hand from dictation of the **Blessed Perfection [Baha'u'llah] who knew he would disobey.** What cause of union could be greater than the Covenant God has revealed through his Manifestation, Baha'u'llah? After the departure of Baha'u'llah the beautiful blossoms upon the Tree of Life were destroyed by Muhammad-Ali and must now be grown again by the love of 'Abdu'l-Baha. The work and mission of 'Abdu'l-Baha are very great. No one could express the grief which followed the turning away from the Covenant by Muhammad-Ali. We should be thankful that the Blessed Perfection [Baha'u'llah], **foreseeing this action,** ordained a Center of the Covenant through which by allegiance and love we may protect and preserve the Cause of God." 'Abdu'l-Baha, Ten Days in the Light of Akka, p. 50.

[14] 'Abdu'l-Baha, Tablets of 'Abdu'l-Baha Vol. I, pp. 107-108.

Navvab is the married wife (married to Baha'u'llah), the eternal consort of Baha'u'llah. In another translation, The New English Bible, this verse of Isaiah 54 reads thus: "for the deserted wife has more sons than she who lives in wedlock." Again the deserted wife is the mother of Muhammad-Ali for it was she and her son that deserted Baha'u'llah and His Covenant and not the other way around. Navvab lived in wedlock which is also in keeping a Covenant of God. Now the desolate one, she had more offspring than Navvab, in this way, the entirety of the family, save 'Abdu'l-Baha's wife, uncle and four single daughters all violated as well as the majority of teachers in the faith up till that time (up to about 70 in number).[15] However, 'Abdu'l-Baha goes on to quote Isaiah 54 "And thy seed shall inherit the Gentiles and make the desolate, cities to be inhabited." The seed of Navvab is 'Abdu'l-Baha who is of the seed of David through his Father, Baha'u'llah. Although Muhammad-Ali violates and the East is poisoned, the Faith is able to move West and 'Abdu'l-Baha continues the lineage of David through his adopted son Mason Remey a gentile--thus the seed of Navvab and Baha'u'llah, 'Abdu'l-Baha, inherited the gentiles with the passing of the lineage, bloodline and throne of David to 'Abdu'l-Baha's son Mason Remey.

However, the aghsan guardianship is not the successor to Baha'u'llah, 'Abdu'l-Baha or Shoghi Effendi, nor is it of the same rank. The aghsan guardians are the continuation of the Davidic lineage only. This created the dichotomy.

So this whole dichotomy of these two Covenants repeats itself again a second time. It happens twice. In Some Answered Questions 'Abdu'l-Baha prophesies that it would happen twice. He says that the "thunder of the violation of the Covenant would resound."[16] So this has to repeat itself again. Here's how this happens:

For although the lineage of David and the throne of David was continued and preserved in his son Mason, 'Abdu'l-Baha appointed Shoghi Effendi to inherit the conferred infallibility of the station of the Lesser Branch who was to implement all that the Most Great Branch had done--and Shoghi Effendi did this faithfully.

Now Shoghi Effendi is both wood and iron making him gold, that is, he is part wood from the lineage of David through his mother 'Abdu'l-Baha's daughter and iron through his father Mirza Hadi Afnan a collateral relative of the Bab and a lineal descendant of Muhammad through Hagar. All told he is three parts iron from Hagar and one part wood through the female line, thus he didn't sit upon the throne of David and could never sit

---

[15] See God Passes By, pp. 246-247.

[16] SAQ, p. 71.

at the head of the IBC/UHJ. Now, because the iron of Shoghi Effendi comes from Hagar, the slave, the whole thing repeats itself again with the succcessorship of Shoghi Effendi.

Shoghi Effendi had to appoint a lineal descendant to succeed him per the instructions of the Will and Testament (page, 11). According to the Covenant of Baha'u'llah there are to be only two successors that individually receive conferred infallibility making them Daysprings of Divine Guidance and then the House of Justice is to be set up and succeed. Likewise 'Abdu'l-Baha writes in his Will, further defining and explaining the Covenant of Baha'u'llah, that after Shoghi Effendi will be the House of Justice (W&T, p. 26). 'Abdu'l-Baha never wrote that it would be a genealogical successor to Shoghi Effendi only that it would be a lineal descendant, meaning in a direct line. If Shoghi Effendi would have appointed a son or had a son then there would have been three successors and then the House of Justice and if that son would have had a son, then there would have been four etc...But Baha'u'llah only provided for TWO successors and then the House of Justice. So Shoghi Effendi sets up the first IBC in a direct line from himself complying with the Covenant of Baha'u'llah and the Will of 'Abdu'l-Baha making that first council his lineal descendant. The first IBC was set up by Shoghi Effendi as the UHJ **in embryo** the body of which is iron and he planted 'Abdu'l-Baha's son Mason, the branch of wood at the head of it making it gold.

However, Shoghi Effendi received his iron from Hagar and this first IBC, the son of the slave, was not to inherit with the son of the Spirit through promise, so it had to be cast out. This was done by the violation of the wife of Shoghi Effendi, arch violator of violators Ruhiyyih Khanum. She now becomes the deserted wife and the desolate one of Isaiah 54, in the repeat scenario, and more are her children, the children of Hell! This is to fulfill the prophecy of 'Abdu'l-Baha that the "noise of the thunder of the violation of the Covenant will resound."[17] So Ruhiyyih is the deserting wife. She deserted the Covenant (the wife of Shoghi Effendi) in this repeat scenario and MORE are the Covenant-breakers than those under the provisions of the Covenant. Therefore, the same scenario and dichotomy was repeated twice.

So as Paul explains it, it is the son of the freed woman who is to be born that we are children of, children of promise that is, children of Covenant. He explains that she is the Jerusalem above, that is, the New Jerusalem described in Revelation chapter 21.

The New Jerusalem that is descended as a bride adorned for her husband is the bride of the Lamb that is the return of Jesus. Now Paul says that the son of promise is called the son of the Spirit. Both Baha'u'llah and 'Abdu'l-Baha referred to Jesus as the Spirit. So

---

[17] SAQ, p. 71.

Jesus returns to establish the true Council and this is the one of promise--because of this: it can only refer to the second IBC/UHJ as the one of promise and to nothing else as it is prophesied of as the city with 12 gates (that 'Abdu'l-Baha himself said are 12 people)[18] that are the twelve apostles of the Lamb; whereas the first IBC of Shoghi Effendi only had nine, so it couldn't possibly be the one prophesied in Revelation and explained by 'Abdu'l-Baha anyway. The first IBC was a sacrifice as the Bab, Muhammad, Ishmael, and all the Handmaidens, that is sons of the slave Hagar, were to be, some for better and some, like Muhammad-Ali and the Covenant-breakers of today, they are for worse.

Certainly the bride refers to the fact that Dr. Leland Jensen has espoused the Cause of God but also in a physical way of these twelve apostles one is his wife and liaison officer, Wind Jensen, thus the city is his bride--and this according to the way Baha'u'llah revealed it, 'Abdu'l-Baha explained it and Shoghi Effendi set it up with his wife being the liaison officer. So it was already set up to be a bride by Shoghi Effendi who set up the liaison officer to be the wife.

Now throughout all this, repeated twice, first with Muhammad-Ali being replaced and second with the casting out of the first IBC and the descent (the establishment) of the second with twelve holy gates (that is twelve hollowed out people, from the obsessions and jealousies of this world), Paul is very sure to explain the difference between the nature of the promise of God (which is the Covenant of God) and nature of the law, which Moses gave. Paul writes about this in Galatians chapter three. It is one of the main themes throughout all the writings of Paul. He talks about the difference between the law and the promise. Paul writes:

> To give a human example, brethren: no one annuls even a man's will, or adds to it, once it has been ratified. Now the promises [Covenant] were made to Abraham and to his offspring. It does not say "And to offsprings," referring to many; but, referring to one, "And to your offspring," which is Christ. This is what I mean: the law, which came four hundred and thirty years afterward, does not annul a covenant previously ratified by God, so as to make the promise void. For if the inheritance is by the law, it is no longer by promise [Covenant]; but God gave it to Abraham by a promise [Covenant].
>
> Why then the law? It was added because of transgressions, till the offspring should come to whom the promise [Covenant] had been made; and it was

[18] Selections of the Writings of 'Abdu'l-Baha #142, p. 166.

> ordained by angels through an intermediary. Now an intermediary implies more than one; but God is one.[19]

Now the Davidic genealogy is not according to law either, the way Paul meant it. David was given a Covenant that his line would last forever and his throne would exist for all generations. It was not provided for by Moses in Mosaic law that the lineage of David would continue. It was purely by a Covenant from God that the lineage of David would continue. So the continuation of the aghsan guardianship which is the branch of wood has nothing to do with the law the way Paul was talking about it. But what this shows it that there was this law that came 430 years after a Covenant was given to Abraham. So you have got two different systems from God. In one God is giving a promise and Covenant and 430 years later He's giving a law. What this means is that He had it set up, and it said this came through angels and by intermediary.

So what Paul is getting at here is the difference between what God gave to Abraham as a Covenant and then the law that came 430 years later by Moses and points out that the law is less potent than the Covenant but even more than that, he shows that "it was ordained by angels through an intermediary." Now on page 66 of Some Answered Questions, 'Abdu'l-Baha tells us that an angel is "a man qualified with heavenly attributes...heavenly qualities and character" and the intermediary is simply the "need of an educator." 'Abdu'l-Baha writes:

> Now we need an educator who will be at the same time a material, human, and spiritual educator, and whose authority will be effective in all conditions. So if any one should say, 'I possess perfect comprehension and intelligence, and I have no need of such an educator,' he would be denying that which is clear and evident, as though a child should say, 'I have no need of education; I will act according to my reason and intelligence, and so I shall attain the perfections of existence'; or as though the blind should say, 'I am in no need of sight, because many other blind people exist without difficulty.[20]

So 'Abdu'l-Baha proves there is an absolute need for this educator and this educator is the intermediary.

---

[19] Galatians 3:15-20.

[20] 'Abdu'l-Baha, Some Answered Questions, p. 10. See entire Chapter III: The Need of an Educator.

Now in the Bible the educators of the people were the priests and the High Priest was the great educator or the greatest teacher of them all. Today when people think of a priest they think of someone who offers a wafer or wine, waves some incense or preforms some sort of ritual or sacrament or something. But this is not what God means by the word as used in the scripture, He means educator.

> And of Levi he said...They shall **teach** Jacob thy judgements, and Israel thy Law.[21]
>
> do according to all that the priests the Levites shall **teach** you: as I commanded them, so ye shall observe to do.[22]
>
> Now for a long season Israel hath been without the true God, and without **a teaching priest**, and without law.[23]

So the teachers in the Bible are the priests, and the greatest of them was the high priest. But the educators and priests that Moses set up were from the tribe of Levi, and the high priest, the great educator, was his brother Aaron and the high priest had to be from the lineage of Aaron only. According to what, a promise? No! According to the laws of Moses. When Moses set up this priesthood he set up a genealogical inheritance for the tribe of Levi according to Mosaic law, Levitical law.[24] But the Covenant that David received was way beyond that. Moses had nothing to do with it. That Samuel the prophet, at that time, anointed David king and then Zoroaster even traveled to Israel circa 1,000 B.C. and may have even met with David, but regardless of what happened there, God Himself gave the Covenant to David. So the Covenant of David's genealogy and throne is not by Levitical law at all it is by promise. The same way there was a promise given to Abraham and the offspring is one which is Christ.[25] Well Christ has come again and it is Baha'u'llah.

So these educators (priests) that Moses set up (from the tribe of Levi) had to continue through Moses' brother Aaron according to a bodily inheritance, they inherited their

---

[21] Deut. 33:8,10, KJV.

[22] Deut. 24:8, KJV.

[23] 2 Chron.15:3, KJV.

[24] Leviticus chapters 8 and 9.

[25] Galatians 3:16.

priesthood by being of a certain genealogy, they had to be from the lineage of Aaron only. But David's lineage wasn't provided for by Moses--it was by a Covenant. So as quoted above these Levitical priests were the teachers of "judgements" and of "thy Law" (Moses'). But what Paul proves is that the law was less than the Covenant and he goes on to prove that whereas Aaron and his priests from Levi were teachers of law there is a higher order of Priesthood that is the teacher of the Covenant, namely after the order of Melchizedek! Paul writes:

> Now if perfection had been attainable through the levitical priesthood (for under it the people received the law)[for they were the teachers of the law], what further need would there have been for another priest to arise after the order of Melchizedek, rather than one after the order of Aaron? For when there is a change in the priesthood there is necessarily a change in the law as well.[26]

So the same thing applies today with the coming of Baha'u'llah, Baha'u'llah brings a whole new Revelation. Then the people need to stop viewing the Baha'i Faith according to their previous indoctrinations or their stereotypes, prejudices and misconceptions. That just because they were Jewish and they say "the Jewish faith is like this, therefore the Baha'i Faith is this way," or because "I was Christian and Protestant the Baha'i Faith is this way" or because "I was Catholic the Baha'i Faith is this way" or because "I was Muslim the Baha'i Covenant is this way." No. When Baha'u'llah brought this new Covenant the old ways are completely annihilated. He says, "Behold, I make all things new." But the people are 100% deprived of it--because there has to be a mediator (an intermediary, an educator) that is an officiate over His Covenant, to teach the Covenant and provide it for the people. Without him, the people remain separated, remote and in dire desolation.

Therefore the High Priesthood after Aaron is not over the Covenant but only over the less potent law. As proof of this the Levitical priests of Aaron were swallowed up along with all the people in the three part Covenant of Moses of which they violated along with the rest of them, showing that they were not the mediators of the Covenant, nor the overseers of the Covenant nor the ministers of the Covenant, nor the teachers of the Covenant but they in fact were subjected to it.[27] In Deuteronomy 31: 24-29 Moses gives these priests the ark of the Covenant and he says, 'Here is my book of the law and you can read it for yourself.' Then he says, 'Damn you. You disobeyed me while I was alive. When I went up on that mountain you turned your back on me. You were wicked while

---

[26] Hebrews 7:11-12.

[27] See Deut. 31:24-29 for the curse upon the Levites.

I was here and I know for sure you will be wicked when I am gone.' And he levies the curse against the Levitical priesthood. So the Levitical priesthood was not over the Covenant, they were swallowed up by it! And they broke it. But this Covenant was started back in the time of Abraham and fulfilled at the time of Christ. So who started this thing? That was the big question.

Well Paul tells us that Melchizedek initiated the Covenant with Abraham, Isaac and Jacob in the first place, and Jesus came after the order of the Melchizedek priesthood to mediate the fulfillment of what was given to Abraham and open it up to all the gentiles. So in other words this Covenant that was given to Abraham was given to him by Shem who was also known as Melchizedek.[28]

When Abraham returned from the Battle of the Kings it says Shem came out and blessed him. So this whole Covenant that Paul is talking about that the law does not supersede was ministered to Abraham by Shem. Abraham, Isaac and Jacob entered the college that Shem had established. Abraham studied there for four years, so did Isaac, and so did Jacob and it says that when Melchizedek came out and met Abraham, he met him with bread and wine. Well that sounds just like Jesus at the last supper--his apostles were only with him three and a half years, that is not a lot of time--at the last supper he met them with bread and wine, and the bread he says is his teachings and the wine is the blood of the Covenant. And this high priest after the order of Melchizedek which has appeared before us in this day (Dr. Leland Jensen) ministering over the Covenant of Baha'u'llah not the law but the Covenant of Baha'u'llah which is the one that Jesus put in the temple in heaven as that scroll written within and on the back, he returns with it, he breaks this bread and he proves Baha'u'llah to us, he teaches us the purpose of life, he shows us the proofs for himself and the pyramid and Revelation. But there is also this chalice that is filled with the wine of the Covenant and the people have to partake of this and if they don't they are dead. And this is what the teaching has been all along, it is not three Covenants, four Covenants, five Covenants, it has been the same Covenant taught from day one from the time of Adam until today. In other words it was the same bread and the same wine all along, therefore it was the same teaching and it is the same Covenant.

Now Jesus could not enter the temple on earth, that is, Jesus did not enter the Temple built by hands, but placed his Covenant in the Temple in heaven. So does that mean he put his Covenant in the Sky? No! He put it in the heaven of prophecy. Where? What prophecy? The prophecy found in the 5th chapter of Revelation (which is the Revelation of Jesus)--and this Covenant is the scroll written on the front and on the back sealed with

---

[28] Halley's Handbook of the Bible, p. 97. See also Ginzberg, Legends of the Jews.

seven seals of the Kitab-i-Ahd and the Will and Testament of 'Abdu'l-Baha that Jesus the High Priest (the Lamb) now officiates over today as teacher of the Covenant.

Now Paul makes a most positive statement throughout the entirety of his writings that Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, through Faith received the Promise and were guaranteed the inheritance of the Kingdom of God not by law but by Covenant! Here in Hebrews he explains that in fact this Covenant was conferred upon Abraham by Melchizedek, himself (Hebrews 7:1-3)--in other words Melchizedek is the High Priest over the Covenant of God and not over judgements of the law. Melchizedek that blessed Abraham was not himself a Manifestation of God either (neither is Dr. Jensen) he was Shem the blessed son of Noah. Now Shem was the establisher of the city of Jerusalem and the founder of a great Academy and school. Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob all went to school at the Bet Midrash (House of Study) of Melchizedek and it is here that they received the promise of the Covenant through Faith in what they learned in that school which was proved to them. So when Paul says these received the promise by faith, that is, they received the promise from Melchizedek because they had faith and believed in his teaching and in him, like the first apostles learned from Jesus and believed in him and his teachings and we who are firm today also have faith in the proofs we have been given. But we must also drink from the chalice! Receive the blood of the lamb, which is the blood of the Covenant. Now like horses we may be lead to this, but no one can make you drink! It is the choice wine. Abraham studied with Melchizedek in his school at least 4 years and when he graduated he wrote a book which is the Kabballah (Sefer Yitzerah).

Jesus himself was a High Priest after this order of Melchizedek and he therefore officiated over the Covenant of God which is written in the parable of the last supper with the bread and the wine. In Genesis, Melchizedek meets Abraham with that same bread and that same wine, showing that this is the same education on the same Covenant of God. George Williams writes of this comparison between Melchizedek and Jesus at the Last Supper in one of his prophesies of Jesus the High Priest who will appear in Deer Lodge, Montana, with this same "bread and wine" which is fulfilled in Dr. Leland Jensen who had "the stone with seven eyes" before him.

> You also wish to know something of Melchisedec--the real Melchisedec [Jesus the High Priest], not one representing him [Williams]. Now mark the first time we hear of him, he is declared to King of Salem or Peace. Here is brought out the bread and wine to Abraham, our faithful friend and brother. It is not written what was said at this interview; but I have no doubt

> it would be the same as said to the 12, at his last supper when he[29] takes the bread and also the cup saying: "Take, eat and drink, all of you, for this is my body broken, and my blood shed for you. There he stood [Jesus the High Priest], without a scar.[30]

Now, again, the bread is the proofs and teachings but the wine is the blood of Covenant that gives life and the first is dead without the second.

Now the Baha'is of the world, irregardless of what group they espouse, are all called by Baha'u'llah's name (they are called Baha'i's in other words). They say His prayers, read His writings, observed His Fasts, celebrate His feasts, wear His ring on their finger, decorate their homes with His name and imitate His speech and language--thus they are filled with the flesh of his body of teachings! This is the outward form. Yet they will not drink one drop of the blood of his new Covenant which is offered up to them even now! Why not?

No understanding. They are ignorant.

The people have no understanding of the Covenant of God, the meaning of the successorship; the disease of violation that is leprosy; the purpose of shunning the dissidents, why we do this, and why God commanded it; and the role of the establisher who fulfills prophecy harmonized with the position of the presidency of the Council which is the aghsan guardianship seated upon the throne of David, which is to last forever.

Therefore, in order for this situation to be reversed the people must be educated!

Therefore another great educator after the order of Melchizedek must appear with us today to offer the Covenant to all the people. Therefore in fulfillment of this purpose God has provided for the establisher of the Baha'i Faith the great teacher of the Covenant to be with us today.

Now Dr. Leland Jensen is not a Manifestation of God! But neither was Shem who blessed Abraham. He is not the Author of the Covenant either, he is not the Delineator of the Covenant, he is not the Implementor of the Covenant, and he certainly has not

---

[29] Underlines by Williams to stress identity of Jesus to the High Priest after the order of Melchizedek which is who he foresaw in Deer Lodge and not Christ--Baha'u'llah the descendant of David.

[30] Williams, letter to Erasmussen, December 27, 1881.

violated the Covenant. But seeing as it has been violated by the "Hands," by Ruhiyyih Khanum, and by Mason Remey and Pepe, he has a mandate from God (through the fulfillment of prophecy: so by another Covenant or promise)[31] to re-establish what the violators have thrown out. Therefore as the great educator of the Covenant, for it is through him only that the people receive its meaning, he is certainly after the order of Melchizedek for he is the one that brings us not law and judgements, but has broken the bread of proofs for us and provides us with that choicest wine of the Covenant offered up in the best of goblets. For King Arthur, he called it the Holy Grail. The Ancient Greeks spoke of a goblet made of purest amethyst and they said that whosoever drinks the wine out that cup will get high but not confused in the mind. Amethyst is the stone of the 12th principle of the Faith. 'Abdu'l-Baha says it is amethyst signifying the ultra-violate rays which are for the healing of the nations referring to the IBC/UHJ with the guardian as its president. So this is the chalice. The Holy Grail was also the Grail Council of which there were twelve people that were its body of members. Baha'u'llah speaks of this as "drinking from the cup tempered at the camphor fountain" in other words camphor wakes up the mind removing the dull rheum from the head giving life to the person.

Paul again referring to the High Priesthood of Jesus, after the order of Melchizedek being the officiator over the Covenant, that is, the teacher of it, states:

> How far superior must the Covenant also be of which Jesus is the guarantor.[32]

Now the "guarantor" is the one that gives a guarantee. And this is exactly what God has given us, His guarantee that He will not violate His Covenant or be false to His faithfulness, for God has said: "I will not violate My Covenant or alter the word that went forth from my lips."[33] Even if the people violate, or like Muhammad-Ali violates, the Covenant of God will always be fulfilled.

> What if some were unfaithful? Does their faithlessness nullify the faithfulness of God? By no means! Let God be true though every man be

---

[31] Paul calls this an oath in Hebrews meaning that a prophecy is a promise which is a Covenant. That is God swore an oath: "The Lord has sworn and will not change his mind, 'Thou art a priest for ever.'" (Heb. 7:21 ff).

[32] Hebrews 7:23, New English Bible.

[33] Psalm 89:34.

false, as it is written, "That thou mayest be justified in thy words and prevail when thou art judged."[34]

So the violators of the Covenant don't have a leg to stand on, even if they were brought into a court they would lose. The way we happen to know the guarantee of God in His Covenant is because it was taught to us by Dr. Jensen. That is how even the dissidents know it!

Where in the Kitab-i-Ahd and the Will and Testament does it say that the guardian is descended from David and seated upon David's throne? Where? Nowhere. Nowhere in the Covenant does it state that. However in His Proclamation Baha'u'llah proclaims that He sat upon the throne of David, and 'Abdu'l-Baha says He fulfilled the 11th chapter of Isaiah which is for the descendant of David, and Shoghi Effendi gives His genealogy back to Jesse the father of David and states the Baha'u'llah sat upon the throne of David and to sit upon the throne of David Baha'u'llah had to be descended from David and if He has aghsan sons they obviously inherit this. But where does it say that in the Book of the Covenant or the Will and Testament? It only says that in one place that I can think of, that I first read it, that is in the Most Mighty Document that Dr. Jensen wrote. Proving that he is a high priest after the order of Melchizedek. God will bring forth the branch but he made this connection clear. Mason said that the guardians were kings seated on a throne but he didn't get into that genealogy that is uncovered there. That was the Covenant that Jesus Christ broke the bread with and he gave the wine. He says "Christ", the meaning of the word "Christ" is the "descendant of David that is anointed" this will last forever! And Paul says, although the natural branches were broken off the tree, he says <u>some</u> of them were broken off the tree. In other words some of them weren't. And then he says 'Am I condemning the Jews and the natural branches?' He says, 'No! I myself am from the tribe of Benjamin I can't be doing this.' He says of these that some of them were saved by grace,[35] and these were the exilarchs and there wasn't a bad one among them since Jehoiachin got out of prison until the time of 'Abdu'l-Baha. Not one of them went against God or broke the Covenant for that entire period that is from about 586 B.C. until 1921 A.D.. There wasn't a rat among them.

Now before they were conquered by Nebuchadnezzar there was good king, bad king, good king, bad king. But after they were taken to Babylon when Jehoiachin prayed for forgiveness and got out of prison there wasn't a bad one of them from him all the way up. Baha'u'llah calls them "the pure natured" ancestors. So we know that it is true as it

---

[34] Romans 3:3-4.

[35] Romans 11:1-6.

is from Baha'u'llah. But then after this we have two gargoyle guardians and we are getting up to that.

So the way we happen to know this guarantee of the Covenant is because of what the teachings of Melchizedek are for us today. And he is prophesied of in the third chapter of Zechariah.

So which Covenant is it that Paul speaks of in Hebrews?

> But now hath he [Jesus] obtained a more excellent ministry, by how much also he is the mediator of a better Covenant, which was established upon better promises. For if that first Covenant had been faultless, then should no place have been sought for the second.[36]

> But when Christ appeared as a high priest of the good things that have come, then through the greater and more perfect tent (not made with hands, that is, not of this creation) he entered once for all into the Holy Place...for Christ has entered, not into a sanctuary made with hands, a copy of the true one, but into heaven itself.[37]

According to chapter 8 and 9 of Hebrews Paul is telling us that Jesus brought a New Covenant that he placed in the Temple in heaven, not built by hands. In other words Jesus placed his Covenant in the Temple in heaven which is the heaven of prophecy--and that prophecy is contained in Revelation 5 which depicts the Covenant as the scroll sealed with seven seals which Jesus alone being a High Priest after the order of Melchizedek can break these seals and teach the Covenant to the people. In Revelation chapter 11 the doors of the Temple in heaven are flung open and the world can see the meaning of the Covenant and Testament--'Abdu'l-Baha, himself interpreted this. He shows that this refers to the Covenant of Baha'u'llah and the Will and Testament of 'Abdu'l-Baha which is the same as the scroll in chapter 5 that the Lamb takes out of the right hand of him who is seated upon the throne. So there is absolutely no doubt, because 'Abdu'l-Baha himself interpreted this, that that Covenant of Jesus which was put into the heaven of his Revelation (the Temple in heaven) is the Covenant of Baha'u'llah because on pages 70 and 71 of Some Answered Questions in the entire commentary of the 11th chapter of Revelation 'Abdu'l-Baha proves that, and says it flat out, that it is the Covenant of Baha'u'llah and nothing else.

---

[36] Hebrews 8:6-7, KJV.

[37] Hebrews 9:11,24.

Now Paul explains the potency of the authority of the Melchizedek priesthood (which is over the Covenant) which far, far exceeds that of Levi (which was over law). Now this is one of the most important aspects of the entire issue because this is the potency of the authority of this priesthood. Although Dr. Jensen is not a Manifestation, but neither was Shem, it still tells us where in the spectrum of things, this is placed. Paul says:

> For this Melchizedek, king of Salem, priest of the Most High God, met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings and blessed him; and to him Abraham gave a tenth part of everything...See how great he is! Abraham the patriarch gave him a tithe of the spoils. And those descendants of Levi who receive the priestly office have a commandment in the law to take tithes from the people, that is from their brethren, though these are also descended from Abraham. But this man who has not their genealogy received tithes from Abraham and blessed him who had the promises. It is beyond dispute that the inferior is blessed by the superior. Here tithes are received by mortal men; there, by one of whom it is testified that he lives. One might even say that Levi himself, who receives tithes, paid tithes through Abraham, for he was still in the loins of his ancestor when Melchizedek met him.[38]

Paul shows that Levi is far, far below that authority of Melchizedek who was above even Abraham when Levi was in the loins of Abraham. And below even Levi in Abraham's loins is the Davidic kings. They are even below that!

> The High Priest [of Aaron], however, comes before the king only when he is disposed to do it; he does not stand in his presence, but the king stands before him, as it is said: And he shall stand before Eleazar the priest (Num. 27:21).[39]

This is not a slur against the Davidic Kings for David himself, knew his place is the scheme and said so. This angered the wicked people in Jesus day when he reminded them of that very fact! But don't you see! These aghsan guardians are Davidic kings. We have always been telling Pepe that he is below the high priest. We have always known that the high priests are above the kings. But those were the Levitical priests that were above the king. Melchizedek is so far, far above the Levitical priests that how far below even the Levitical priests, let alone that of Melchizedek, is Pepe that has the audacity to violate the Covenant in our faces. Now Mason may have went against Shoghi Effendi and violated

---

[38] Hebrews 7:1-10.

[39] The Code of Maimonides, Book Fourteen: The Book of Judges, p. 211.

the Covenant that way, but Pepe has gone against 'Abdu'l-Baha. He has completely thrown 'Abdu'l-Baha out the window. And 'Abdu'l-Baha is the seed that would inherit the gentiles that when the son of the slave was cast out he is the branch that we are under. If we are not under the shelter of this branch we are going to be scorched it says by the fire and the heat.[40] What is this? In summertime we will get a bad sun burn? No. This is the thermonuclear war! What is Pepe playing with fire for? He shouldn't be playing with fire. It is none of his business. This priesthood after the order of Melchizedek has come to establish this Covenant the way it is supposed to be set up.

Melchizedek physically built the physical city of Jerusalem that those three religious groups are fighting over, over there. After Nimrod was put to death in Egypt, his wife outsmarted Shem and got pregnant about a year later and made up the trinity and started spreading her doctrine around. Shem knew that he was in for it and that he had to build a walled city to keep all the lies out so he left Egypt and he went up into Palestine and he built the city of Jerusalem and he built a wall 30 feet high and thirty feet thick of solid stone all around it. The gates of that city were like tunnels, thirty feet you would have to walk through, and they'd have a portcullis on the front and on the back. And all the people within the city had to obey the laws there and he set up his school in this city and he kept out all of the corruption. And he set up a house of study (a bet Midrash) and a court of justice or a house of Justice (bet din)[41]. Later when Moses brought the law the Temple was put there and the supreme court met there and this is all a microcosm for what we have today which is the greatest school. Well who is the establisher of it? Well Dr. Leland Jensen is, as he is a high priest after the order of Melchizedek as prophesied in the third chapter of Zechariah to set up the courts (IBC/UHJ). And this descendant of David is so far below even the Levitical priests of which Melchizedek exceeds by leagues and leagues, that he has no business even making one noise against this Covenant which is being established today.

But the dissidents are whoring after him. Now the kingship was promised by Moses, by God, that it would be established.[42] But the people say "we want a king!" and Samuel says, "But God they have turned against me!" And God says, "No Samuel they have not turned against you they have turned against Me!"[43] God Himself! Well what does this mean? They went whoring after a king. They said the other nations have kings, O Lord,

---

[40] Tablet of the Branch.

[41] Two phases of the same thing.

[42] Deuteronomy 17:14-15.

[43] Samuel 8:6-9.

we want a king. Well what does that mean? The other nations had descendants of Nimrod that they had for their kings. And the people of Israel went whoring after a type of Nimrod to be their king to be there idol that they could have. And this is why God says, "they have rejected Me." So he picked Saul for them (to teach them a lesson) who lasted forty years and then he bombed out when he started practicing black magic and soothsaying and stuff like this. But David, who is David? David was just a kid who was out tending his father's flock communing with God in the wilderness and cared nothing about titles, station, position, power, kingship or any of this and he was found to be after the heart of God. Does David object to being less then Melchizedek? No! And this is the point, and it is not a slur against the Davidic kings:

> Now while the Pharisees were gathered together, Jesus asked them a question, saying, "What do you think of the Christ? Whose son is he?" They said to him, "The son of David." He said to them, "How is it then that David, inspired by the Spirit, calls him Lord, saying,
>
> > 'The Lord said to my Lord, sit at my right hand, till I put thy enemies under thy feet'?
>
> If David thus calls him Lord, how is he his son?" And no one was able to answer him a word, nor from that day did any one dare to ask him any more questions.[44]

David calls him Lord, because Jesus is quoting from Psalm 110 which continues on in verse four: "The Lord has sworn and will not change his mind, "You are a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek."[45]

David knew where his position in this was; that Melchizedek was his lord (above him); that Melchizedek had blessed Abraham; that he happened to be heir to this. He knew that he was even below the Levitical priests--but far far below that of Melchizedek. Now Jesus happens to be both descended from David, the first coming of Christ, and a high priest after the order of Melchizedek. But Shem was king and high priest rolled up into one also--but 1000 years later in Jerusalem David was king and Zadok was priest. And the second coming of Christ Baha'u'llah (the Manifestation of God) is the return of Jesus[46]

---

[44] Matthew 22:41-46.

[45] God has "sworn" meaning that this priesthood is everlasting according to the Covenant or an oath. The same as God "swore" to David his throne and lineage would last forever.

[46] Jesus is Greek for the English: "God with us." Mat. 1:23. Meaning God is with us in Manifestation.

Christ, the descendant of David seated upon David's throne, but Dr. Jensen is the return of Jesus[47] the High Priest after the order of Melchizedek that needs to mediate this Covenant of Baha'u'llah to the people because it was a big secret.[48] They hid their genealogy away! Does not Baha'u'llah say that he has a hidden book? Does not Baha'u'llah and the New Era state that if you don't know what is in Baha'u'llah's hidden book you won't know why the Bab's revelation was only 19 years long and why the Bab came first and was martyred? This hidden book is His genealogy scroll containing the fact that he would be a descendant of David that he was seated upon the throne of David. And in order to put this whole picture together and to explain this dichotomy and have the authority from God Almighty, not by law, but through the promise of prophecy fulfilled to establish this second International Baha'i Council, this genuine House of Justice with the Davidic King as its president, member and guardian, we have to have a high priest after the order of Melchizedek which is Dr, Jensen, Knight of Baha'u'llah.

And this is what we have our faith in. Now what are the dissidents doing? They are whoring after an imaginary Nimrod, they are whoring after an imaginary repository of Divine Guidance that was a claim of Nimrod and the Roman papacy which has inherited his throne.[49] The people were condemned for whoring after a king who they placed above God and above His Covenant! Surely the test of God is not to fall for this ruse again! That our king and president today (and David knew his place) is not above the law. He is the executive of the council and is to enforce the law as Revealed by Baha'u'llah, Explained by 'Abdu'l-Baha and Implemented by Shoghi Effendi. That is what David did! When the high priest and the council made its judgements and the people didn't like it David took his signet ring and stamped the paper and they enforced it. But he also wrote the psalms and was very happy to be alive just to be communing with God. The main purpose of the Davidic kings was to guard the High Priest, his teachings and the Temple. The same is true today. The most essential way in which this is done is that through the guardianship being seated upon the throne of David, the true council in recognized from false ones.

---

[47] Jesus/God with us: the Shekinah or the Presence of God is the Holy of Holies with Jesus the High Priest after the order of Melchizedek (mediating the Covenant). God is with us through his explanations and commentaries on what Baha'u'llah gave.

[48] According to Baha'u'llah only two persons were "adequately informed of the origins" of His Faith (that it was from David), His two faithful brothers, Mirza Musa and Mirza Muhammad-Quli, who both shared His genealogy, God Passes By, p. 108.

[49] See Two Babylons, by Hyslop.

So it is clear that David knew that even as he was below Levi and that far inferior to Abraham who himself was blessed by Melchizedek 'how much, much greater was Melchizedek then himself and all the Davidic kings which is continued today in the aghsan guardianship of the Baha'i Faith. Now this Melchizedek who was Shem was not a Manifestation of God and neither is Dr. Jensen--but as a High Priest that is, the great teacher of the Covenant today that establishes the courts and judges the house (of David) he has a very powerful and supreme mandate from God to accomplish his mission of which no one, not even the guardian can falter with.

One of the reasons God chose David was that his heart was so pure that he loved God and he knew him. He wasn't interested in position or rank or station, he was happy communing with God and tending his fathers flocks in the countryside.

Now after the kingship was established, there were a lot of wicked kings. Read the Bible. We would have one good king, then a bad king, then a good king, then a bad king and so on. But after Jehoiachin was thrown in prison by Nebuchadnezzar, after he repented he was let out and not one of them went against God all the way up until 'Abdu'l-Baha. These were those preserved by grace that Paul spoke of, natural branches not broken off the tree. And now that we have the kingdom, this lineage continues on forever through the male-line in the presidency of the IBC/UHJ.

And what of the Gentiles?

The Covenant was given to Abraham and so for a long time the Jews thought that because they were physically descended from him they would just receive this promise. But it was blessed upon him by Shem who was Melchizedek. So when Jesus Christ appears, 2000 years ago, what he says is 'No.' That he was also after the order of Melchizedek and that it is through faith in the Covenant that the Gentiles will come in and inherit the Kingdom. And through lack of faith they could be chopped off.

In Romans he says that what the gentiles have their hope in is that in that day the root of Jesse will be an ensign to the gentiles in him the gentiles have there hope.

> "The root of Jesse [Baha'u'llah] shall come, he who rises to rule the Gentiles; in him shall the Gentiles hope."[50]

So when Jesus Christ promised the gentiles an inheritance in the kingdom, Paul said, that it was in the root of Jesse that there hope remained. And this is Baha'u'llah--the

---

[50] Romans 15:12.

So it is clear that David knew that even as he was below Levi and that far inferior to Abraham who himself was blessed by Melchizedek 'how much, much greater was Melchizedek then himself and all the Davidic kings which is continued today in the aghsan guardianship of the Baha'i Faith. Now this Melchizedek who was Shem was not a Manifestation of God and neither is Dr. Jensen--but as a High Priest that is, the great teacher of the Covenant today that establishes the courts and judges the house (of David) he has a very powerful and supreme mandate from God to accomplish his mission of which no one, not even the guardian can falter with.

One of the reasons God chose David was that his heart was so pure that he loved God and he knew him. He wasn't interested in position or rank or station, he was happy communing with God and tending his fathers flocks in the countryside.

Now after the kingship was established, there were a lot of wicked kings. Read the Bible. We would have one good king, then a bad king, then a good king, then a bad king and so on. But after Jehoiachin was thrown in prison by Nebuchadnezzar, after he repented he was let out and not one of them went against God all the way up until 'Abdu'l-Baha. These were those preserved by grace that Paul spoke of, natural branches not broken off the tree. And now that we have the kingdom, this lineage continues on forever through the male-line in the presidency of the IBC/UHJ.

And what of the Gentiles?

The Covenant was given to Abraham and so for a long time the Jews thought that because they were physically descended from him they would just receive this promise. But it was blessed upon him by Shem who was Melchizedek. So when Jesus Christ appears, 2000 years ago, what he says is 'No.' That he was also after the order of Melchizedek and that it is through faith in the Covenant that the Gentiles will come in and inherit the Kingdom. And through lack of faith they could be chopped off.

In Romans he says that what the gentiles have their hope in is that in that day the root of Jesse will be an ensign to the gentiles in him the gentiles have there hope.

> "The root of Jesse [Baha'u'llah] shall come, he who rises to rule the Gentiles; in him shall the Gentiles hope."[50]

So when Jesus Christ promised the gentiles an inheritance in the kingdom, Paul said, that it was in the root of Jesse that there hope remained. And this is Baha'u'llah--the

---

[50] Romans 15:12.

Ancient Root.[51] So according to Christianity, as testifies their own book, none of the Christians ever entered the Kingdom of God on earth. They were just able to enter it in heaven. But Baha'u'llah is Christ come again, He is the one offspring that is the inheritor of all this so that through faith in Baha'u'llah all the people are grafted in as descendants of Abraham to inherit the promise but that doesn't mean that they are all descendants of David. Through each Manifestation (Buddha, Krisna, Zoroaster they are from Abraham through Keturah, Muhammad and the Bab through Hagar and Moses and Jesus and Baha'u'llah through Sarah) all the people of the world, no matter what religion in the world they belong to through faith, are already part of the tree of Abraham, the Christians too. But if they do what the Jews did at the time of Jesus back then when Baha'u'llah's message is proclaimed to them today and reject Him, then they will be broken off the tree. But anybody that might be an atheist, or belong to whatever religion, if they accept Baha'u'llah are grafted into the tree of Abraham and receive the promise.

So we are all sons by adoption, it says in Romans, men and women alike. We are all sons by adoption. We are all heirs to this faith, we are all inheritors to the kingdom of God, we are all the children of God, we are all the sons of God, the phrase "the Son of God" has never been used so many times over and over again throughout all of the writings of Paul referring to <u>all</u> believers, male and female, yet the Christians say Jesus is the only son of God. They are all to be sons of God and heirs to Abraham and this they receive through the teaching of the Son which is the words he speaks which are spirit and life which he does as a great educator (high Priest) after the order of Melchizedek. But in order to be descended from David, this is different, they have to be an actual son from that line adopted or otherwise. These heirs to the promise does not make everyone descendants of David. No. But the gentiles elect their representatives to sit upon that council (in its later stages) and the only purpose of the hereditary descendant of David (adopted or natural) is that this is how we recognize the true House of Justice from false ones for he alone is its president.

Now what happen's to those people that commit apostasy and crucify the son of God on there own account, that have already seen the firesides and know that this Dr. Jensen is the return of Jesus establishing what the violators have thrown out. This is explained in Hebrews chapter six:

> And this we will do if God permits. For it is impossible to restore again to repentance those who have once been enlightened, who have tasted the heavenly gift, and have become partakers of the Holy Spirit, and have tasted

---

[51] The Ancient Root descended from David. Jesse is the father of king David. See also Kitab-i-Ahd, the Book of the Covenant, for Baha'u'llah: the Ancient Root.

> the goodness of the word of God and the powers of the age to come, if they then commit apostasy, since they crucify the Son of God on their own account and hold him up to contempt. For land which has drunk the rain that often falls upon it, and brings forth vegetation useful to those for whose sake it is cultivated receives a blessing from God. But if it bears thorns and thistles, it is worthless and near to being cursed; its end is to be burned.[52]

Thus in the middle of talking about the Melchizedek priesthood Paul explains the role of the dissidents and their fate. These people, such as Anita, knew for a certainty who Doc is. Therefore they have now fallen, their love turned to hate, into this foul and pallor condition described by Paul above. 'Abdu'l-Baha says that what is destroying the people is envy and jealousy.

> Man must show forth fruits. A fruitless man, in the words of His Holiness the Spirit (Christ) is like a fruitless tree, and a fruitless tree is fit for fire. --Baha'u'llah, Words of Paradise.[53]

Baha'u'llah created this whole situation naming Muhammad-Ali. Didn't 'Abdu'l-Baha say "when" his son violates? So this whole situation has been orchestrated and overseen by the author of the revelation Baha'u'llah, by the Most Great Branch, 'Abdu'l-Baha and by Shoghi Effendi who picked those people that he knew would violate and made them Hands. Now the high priest comes that combats the violation. So the violators and dissidents serve a purpose of God. If not for them these things wouldn't be developed, if not for them these answers wouldn't be given. So we thank God for the dissidents for they serve a purpose. We don't hate them, we shun them.

Someone has to be fuel for the fire.

---

[52] Hebrews 6:3-8.

[53] Baha'u'llah and the New Era, chapter 5, "What is a Baha'i".